United States of America

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

)

Eastern District of North Carolina

v.) Case No. 5:16-MJ-1376-JG	
GERARDO GUTIERREZ-RICO) Case No. 5.16 MG 1576 33	
Defendant)	
DETENTION ORDER PENDING TRIAL		
After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail require that the defendant be detained pending trial.	Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts	
Part I—Fin	dings of Fact	
$\ \square$ (1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in	n 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted	
of \Box a federal offense \Box a state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if federal		
jurisdiction had existed - that is		
☐ a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § for which the prison term is 10 years or more	3156(a)(4)or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)	
☐ an offense for which the maximum sentence is death or life imprisonment.		
☐ an offense for which a maximum prison term	of ten years or more is prescribed in	
	.*	
a felony committed after the defendant had b described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or	een convicted of two or more prior federal offenses or comparable state or local offenses:	
☐ any felony that is not a crime of violence but	involves:	
□ a minor victim		
☐ the possession or use of a firearm or dest	ructive device or any other dangerous weapon	
☐ a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 22	50	
\Box (2) The offense described in finding (1) was committ federal, state release or local offense.	ed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a	
\Box (3) A period of less than five years has elapsed since	the □ date of conviction □ the defendant's release	
from prison for the offense described in finding (1).	
	presumption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety nd that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.	
Alternative	Findings (A)	
\Box (1) There is probable cause to believe that the defend	lant has committed an offense	
☐ for which a maximum prison term of ten year	rs or more is prescribed in	
□ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).		

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

□ (2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption enthe defendant's appearance and the safety of the	stablished by finding 1 that no condition will reasonably assure community.
	Alternative	Findings (B)
$\mathbf{Y}_{(1)}$	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not	t appear.
(1) (2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will end	danger the safety of another person or the community.
ī	Part II— Statement of t I find that the testimony and information submitted	the Reasons for Detention at the detention hearing establishes by
convinci Bas be	ng evidence a preponderance of the evidence sed on the defendant's waiver of his/her right to a detenti imposed which would reasonably assure the defendant's	that that ion hearing, there is no condition or combination of conditions, that car appearance and/or the safety of another person or the community. The imposed which would reasonably that can be imposed which would reasonably
	_	s Regarding Detention
in a correpending order of	The defendant is committed to the custody of the At rections facility separate, to the extent practicable, f appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonal	torney General or a designated representative for confinement from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody ble opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility
Date: M	May 17, 2016	Robert T Numbers II

Robert T. Numbers, II United States Magistrate Judge
Printed name and title